Solid Waste Alternatives Program Advisory Council State Capitol Building Room 305 November 13, 2008 12:00 p.m.

Minutes

12:00 p.m. Meeting Begins

12:15 p.m. Public Participation Period (3 Minutes Per Person)

Tom Hadden - absent

Approval of Agenda		DECISION ITEM
No discussion		
	Motion and 2nd to approve	
	Unanimous approval	
Approval of Minutes		DECISION ITEM
Approval of Minutes No discussion		DECISION ITEM
	Motion and 2nd to approve	DECISION ITEM

Breaking Out Planning Areas/Fee Structure/Flexibility

DECISION ITEM

EMS dedicated SWAP funding, who will benefit?

We need a way to figure different tonnage fees.

We need a legislative change for a service area (vs. planning area) to participate.

The planning area as a whole is evaluated for the non-participant's goal progress determination.

- Scenarios (see handout)
 - 1. One county, some cities participate, some don't. It's hard to split out these areas, and hard to track. Cities all need to participate.
 - 2. Multi-County (2 or more) PA with single landfill, no transfer station. Everything is directly hauled to landfill.
 - Multi-county (3 or more) with single landfill serving Counties 1 &
 Transfer station receives waste only from County 2 and sends it to LDF in County 3.
 - 4. Multiple Counties, multiple landfills....does not apply to transfer stations. The landfill pays the fees. Still has to go through the planning area, even if only 1 county participates. We'll be excluding several areas if we don't allow this scenario.

We can't allow a multi-county planning area to split out all 6 of the items among each county. It's not fair to split out these things, they need to be done as a whole.

We need to decide about the planning area language. Are we allowing it to beak down? If yes, we need a legislative change. Will we allow it for this first round? We need the initial effort by 1 to help push the rest of the area.

What if there are more issues that come up? Should we just leave it as is for the first round?

This is a pilot, and it's not a bad thing to expand/improve upon it. We need to be careful on opening up legislation. Don't create incentive to break-up planning areas.

When we send the letter out, tell people to be prepared to address all of the items in the planning area.

If we want to do something different, the Code has to reflect it.

We should start a list of changes, but we don't want do it in little bits at a time.

Can the planning area be the host of the application, but they can designate a specific area to participate as the pilot?

We need clear cut parameters. Permitted service area is the cleanest. We can let people know we are open to the planning area being the host and breaking it down to a county level.

Discussion: larger planning areas don't have incentives to do anything. They don't have incentive now anyway. It may not be bad for them to break up if that's the only way to bring people along. This doesn't make the disincentive worse than what is already in place.

The planning area may designate a permitted landfill service area as their EMS pilot. (This would still fall under the law) The EMS benefits (HF2570 incentives) apply only to that designee. (Goal progress still happens region wide).

Motion and 2nd to approve Unanimous approval

EMS Elements Definitions (Brian, Mary, Scott, Tony) DECISION ITEM

Comma after "identified" in #4

#3 change to "The organization must identify" (this makes it present and future tense)

#4 take "or goals" out.

#5 Scott's change is good

#6 "As part of reviewing the draft of the action plan, conduct an inventory..."

#7 "will" instead of "would", "have been identified as having an interest, stake, or role" keep Scott's change

#8 Scott's change is good

#9 "the function of each component and its effectiveness" (assessment is analytical and measurements) Add: "Assessment is the process of drawing conclusions from the performance measurements."

#10 "Reevaluation and modification: The reevaluation and modification element is an activity that allows an organization to improve and strengthen the EMS on an ongoing basis. This element considers areas where the EMS has met, exceeded, or failed to meet expectations. Identify root causes of those outcomes, and develop additional goals and activities appropriate to each.

It's an opportunity to realize the organization's commitment to continuous improvement and should not be looked upon negatively."

Becky will email this out again.

Motion to table for next meeting.

Initial Application Letter (Tom Hadden)

DECISION ITEM

Becky will re-send the letter and we will discuss at the next meeting. Jen Jordan will compile comments, email changes to Jen by November 27th.

Why do you want to do this?

We need to add the service area language into it.

Ask what questions they have.

<u>Draft of application form (for the 2nd cut)</u>

DECISION ITEM

The SWAP format is a good basis for what we want to do. People are familiar with this system.

Should we develop a committee?

We need criteria. Do we let the pilots help create it?

Area Served/geographic diversity

Economic resources

Demographics

Project Sustainability?

Help us to understand how this program will help you.

The comprehensive plan itself isn't enough. Make them do the leg work to provide us the information.

The application is not for them to submit the EMS. We are initially looking for application to be in the pilot project.

We could create a small group to tackle criteria/recommendations of what needs to be addressed in the application. Sara, Shelly, Sheri.

Tom sent an email regarding a 3rd party to assist in the process. Topic for next meeting.

Should we gauge the interest prior to putting together the application. (get the letter out first)

Scheduling Future Meetings

December 15, 9 a.m. (12th has been cancelled)
 State Capitol Building Room 305

Adjourn DECISION ITEM

Motion and 2nd to approve Unanimous approval

Homework/Parking Lot

- Becky will email out the DNR revised EMS Definitions
- Review EMS Elements Definitions send comments to Becky/Alex.
- Becky will email out the Planning area letter
- Review Planning Area letter comments to Jen Jordan by 11-27-08.
- Criteria/recommendations for application form Sara, Shelly, Sheri
- Brian will look into 3rd party assistance with EMS Development.

Next Meeting Topics

- EMS Elements Definitions
- Initial Application Letter
- Tom's email
- Criteria/recommendations for application form Sara, Shelly, Sheri

Sign In

Solid Waste Alternatives Program Advisory Council

State Capitol Building

State Capitol Building 11/13/2008 12:00		
Alex Moon	DWR	
Berky Jolly		
Brian Torner		
Sherry timbers	O E D	
Shelly Codner	QUE	
Mady Willy	130900	
Scott Sm. th	Central ZA SWA	
Sama TsixTsy Brian Tormey	SCISWA	
Brian lovery	DNR	
Jen Jorda-/	TEA	
Junifer Ryan	ECICOG	
Dervin Gode John Pederson	CR LC SWA	
John Federson	Antistic Waste Aitistic Waste	
Tony Colosine	HIMSH Waste	
territoria de contrata de la contrata del contrata de la contrata de la contrata del contrata de la contrata del la contrata del la contrata de la contrata del la contrata de la contrata del la contrata de la contrata de la contrata del la contra		
A Share and the		
The state of the s		
See a final particle of the control of the final particle of the control of the c		
and the state of t		
advantad to go train, to an annual to a 1-free for form or decomposition of the contract of th		
man kan ista - 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1,		
enement with the State of the following and the state of the following the state of		
garfugangagagadanan kan san ki di di kiringa kangangagankan anda di kiringa na mangan da kan di ¹ mininga na mangan kan di Kiringa na mangan kan di Kiringa na mangan		
Market services the second services and the second services are services as the second services are services a		
was defined by Command the street of the contract of the Command o		